

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 U.S. BANK N.A., SUCCESSOR
8 TRUSTEETO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,
9 SUCCESSOR TO LASALLE BANK, N.A.,
10 AS TRUSTEE, ON BEHALF OF
11 THEHOLDERS OF THE WAMU
12 MORTGAGEPASS-THROUGH
13 CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR16
14 TRUST,

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a
18 Nevada limited liability company;
19 CANYON GATE ASTERASSOCIATION,
20 a Nevada non-profitcorporation,

21 Defendants.

22 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a
23 Nevada limited liability company,

24 Counter/Cross-Claimant,

25 vs.

26 U.S. BANK N.A., SUCCESSOR
27 TRUSTEE TO BANK OF AMERICA,
28 N.A., AS TRUSTEE, ON BEHALF OF
THE
HOLDERS OF THE WAMU MORTGAGE
PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES,
SERIES 2006-AR16 TRUST; THE
MIDORA TRUST FUND, DAHN
MIDORA, TRUSTEE OF THE TRUST;
and JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,
SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST BY

Case No. 2:16-cv-02712-RFB-CWH

AMENDED ORDER

1 PURCHASE FROM THE FEDERAL
2 DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION
3 AS RECEIVER OF WASHINGTON
4 MUTUAL BANK F/K/A WASHINGTON
5 MUTUAL BANK FA,

Counter/Cross-Defendants.

6 On April 21, 2017, this Court certified a question of law regarding NRS 116's notice
7 requirement to the Nevada Supreme Court in Bank of N.Y. Mellon v. Star Hill Homeowners Ass'n,
8 Case No. 2:16-cv-02561-RFB-PAL, ECF No. 41. The Court finds that the outcome of that decision
9 will impact the pending motions in this case. For reasons of judicial economy and to avoid
10 inconsistent decisions or partial decisions on some but not all issues, the Court will not consider
11 any further motions until the parties have had the opportunity to receive and address the Nevada
12 Supreme Court's opinion on this issue.

13 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that this case is hereby STAYED.

14 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff/Counter Defendant U.S. Bank N.A. 's Motion
15 for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 62) and Defendant/ Cross Claimant SFR Investments Pool 1,
16 LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 63) are DENIED without prejudice. The moving
17 party shall have 21 days from the date of the Nevada Supreme Court's decision on the certified
18 question to file a modified Motion for Summary Judgment or to file a notice renewing the
19 previously filed motion. The opposing party shall have 21 days to respond. The moving party
20 shall have 14 days to reply.

21 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that all other pending motions are DENIED without
22 prejudice.

23 **DATED** this 13th day of July, 2018.

24
25 

26 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
27 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
28